

MULTI-LAYER SOFTBALL

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/401,140, filed on August 5, 2002.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] The present invention relates to game balls used in diamond sports. More particularly, the present invention is concerned with game balls, such as softballs, having a dual core construction that is suitable for play under competitive play conditions.

[0003] Specifications for softballs used in competitive and tournament play have generally been issued by two governing organizations, the United States Specialty Sports Association (USSSA) and the American Softball Association (ASA). Softballs range in size from 10 to 16 inches in circumference, with 12-inch softballs being the most widely used. The specifications for a 12-inch softball include the following requirements: Coefficient of Restitution (COR) of 0.40 to 0.50; circumference of 11 7/8 to 12 1/8 inches; compression limits of 375 or 525 pounds, depending on the organization; and weight of 6 1/4 to 7 ounces (175 to 200 grams).

[0004] The COR is extremely important because the COR generally determines the speed of the ball off the bat. More specifically, a ball's COR is the ratio of the relative velocity of the ball after and before direct impact with a fixed surface. As discussed in greater detail below, COR is measured by propelling the ball against a hard surface at 88 feet-per-second (fps) and measuring the rebound speed of the ball. COR is expressed in terms of the ratio of the rebound speed to the initial ball speed of 88 fps. Consequently, the COR can vary from zero to one, with one being equivalent to a fully elastic collision and zero being equivalent to an inelastic collision.

[0005] There are other qualities of softballs that are not included in the official specifications or physical properties that are important to players. Examples of these qualities include: the sound of the ball when batted; the "feel off the bat" or, the feel that the batter experiences at the moment of impact of the bat with the ball; flight consistency; durability; the grip and feel of the ball in both bare hands and in a glove; and the ability of the product to maintain those characteristics over an extended period of time.

[0006] The various associations that govern softball are continuously investigating the merits of lower compression softballs and how they could benefit the game of softball. Urethane and cork centered softballs have to comply with softball association compression limits that are currently set at either 525 lbs. or 375 lbs., depending on the league and level of play. A softball's compression is obtained by measuring the amount of force required to compress the ball 0.25 inches as prescribed by ASTM methodology (ASTM method F 1888-98). That is, compression determines the pounds of pressure per square inch required to compress a softball 0.25 inches. Compression can be measured using universal test machines that compress the ball between two flat steel platens and record the force with a load cell, such as Instron™, MTS™ or other types machines. Using typical urethane and cork softball constructions, softball manufacturers continually adjust ball constructions to meet the softball associations' compression requirements while continuing to satisfy the ball performance demands required by the players. What is needed in the art is a softball where the performance characteristics can be altered as desired such that the softball has a very low compression while maintaining the standards for COR, durability and performance.

[0007] An innovative, multi-layer softball design has been developed that can satisfy the need for lower compressions, while maintaining the performance of a traditional softball. The COR and durability of the new multi-layer product are comparable to a traditional softball at much lower compressions. This innovative new ball also minimizes bat denting and reduces the amount of sting associated with hits that miss the sweet spot of the bat.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0008] The present invention relates to softballs that have very low compression, but maintain the traditional coefficient of restitution (COR) values of standard urethane core softballs. It has been determined that the use of multiple core layers can be used to produce a softball having the performance of a traditional ball.

[0009] The present invention also relates to softballs having multiple core layers. Specifically, the invention relates to a softball having a core, at least one outer core or mantle layer, and a cover. More specifically, the compression of the softball is very low, but the COR and durability are comparable to standard softballs currently produced.

[0010] Other objects of the invention will become apparent from the specification, drawings and claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0011] The following is a brief description of the drawings, which are presented for the purposes of illustrating the invention and not for the purposes of limiting the same.

[0012] Figure 1 shows a perspective view of a softball having an outer cover layer;

[0013] Figure 2 shows a cross section of a softball with a core, an outer core or mantle layer and an outer cover layer; and

[0014] Figure 3 is shows a cross section of another embodiment of the softball with a core, two outer core or mantle layers and an outer cover layer.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0015] Referring to Figures 1 to 3 of the drawings, a perspective view of a softball 10 having an outer cover layer 16 is shown. The cover layer 16 may have traditional stitching, or it may have "stitches" that are molded into the cover to appear like actual stitches. A cross section of a softball 10 is illustrated in Figures 2 and 3 incorporating the lower compression core of the invention. The game ball 10 that is illustrated in Figure 2 is a softball construction comprising a composite core 11 and a cover layer 16 surrounding the composite core 11. The composite core 11 includes a central core 12 and a first outer core or mantle layer 14 around the central core 12. The game ball 10 that is illustrated in Figure 3 is a softball construction comprising a composite core 11 having a first and second mantle layer 14, 15. That is, the composite core 11 includes a central core 12, a first core or mantle layer 14 around the central core 12, a second outer core or mantle layer 15 surrounding the first mantle layer 14. A cover layer 16 thereby encircles the second outer core or mantle layer 15. The terms "core layer" and "mantle layer" are used interchangeably throughout, and they refer to a layer disposed about a central, preferably spherical, core 12.

[0016] Any desired cover material known in the art can be used on the ball 10. The cover layer 16 is preferably, but not necessarily, stitched to the composite core 11, especially if the ball 10 is to be used in competitive play. The cover 16 may also be molded on the ball 10 using

processes known in the art, such as a plastisol fusion process, particularly if the softball 10 is not for competitive play in leagues requiring stitched covers. Examples of materials suitable for use as the cover layer 16 include, but are not limited to: polyurethanes, including thermoplastic polyurethanes; polyvinylchloride (PVC); natural leather; synthetic leather; and composite leather. Materials suitable for use as the central core 12 include, but are not limited to: cork; kapok; urethanes; thermoplastics; and other rubber materials generally known in the art. Examples of materials suitable for the first and second mantle layers 14, 15 include, but are not limited to: urethanes; thermosets; thermoplastics; and the like. Preferably, the central core 12 and the first and second mantle layer(s) 14, 15 comprise urethane.

[0017] Looking to Figure 2, the multi-layer softball 10 of the invention comprises a central core 12, at least one mantle layer 14, and a cover 16 covering the mantle layer 14. The goal is to achieve a certain coefficient of restitution (COR) and durability of the ball 10, and preferably, to have a low compression. The inventors have found that a softball 10 having multiple layers constructed of certain materials, such as those described above, exhibits low compression while maintaining desired COR and durability levels necessary for softballs 10 used in competitive play. It was determined that using a softer outer core or mantle layer(s), such as a softer urethane or other foam material, would reduce the overall compression, thus reducing the bat denting, compression and the like, while maintaining durability and performance.

[0018] A typical softball with a polyurethane core has a construction comprising a urethane core and a single cover layer. Other softball designs may have cork centers that are traditionally wrapped in cloth or yarn windings, but this invention is not concerned with that type of softball. The softballs 10 of the invention have an additional mantle layer (or layers) 14, 15 between the central core 12 and the cover 16, as previously described. This mantle layers 14, 15 are added to control or to change the performance characteristics of the ball 10 and to make it feel softer yet have many of the desirable characteristics of a traditional softball.

[0019] The unique multi-layer construction of the present invention preferably features the dual core or composite core design and a traditional stitched softball cover 16, such as a leather, synthetic leather or composite cover. The central core 12 is preferably comprised of a semi-rigid to rigid urethane composition with a density of approximately 10 to 30 lbs/ft³, more preferably 15 to 25 lbs/ft³, and even more preferably 18 to 22 lbs/ft³. The size, compression, and resiliency of

the central core **12** can vary with the material selection and mix ratio of the urethane system used. The size of the central core **12** and outer core layer(s) may vary as desired, but the completed composite core **11** must be equal to the size of a standard 12-inch softball core resulting in a stitched softball that meets the size requirements of various softball associations. In other organizations, an 11-inch softball may be used. For purposes of this invention, the 12-inch softball is the primary focus, although the concept applies to other size softballs as well by appropriately modifying the sizes of the central core **12** and the thickness of the mantle layer **14**.

[0020] The standard diameter of a 12-inch softball core can range from about 3.650 to about 3.700 inches, preferably about 3.680 inches. The central cores **12** for the multi-layer softball **10** of the present invention must be reduced in size to accommodate the outer mantle layer or layers **14, 15**. The thickness of the outer mantle layer or layers **14, 15** is preferably from about 0.0500 to about 0.500 inches, more preferably 0.100 to 0.250 inches, even more preferably about 0.125 to about 0.135 inches, and most preferably about 0.125 inches or 1/8 inches. In order to obtain a mantle layer or layers **14, 15** with a preferred thickness of 0.125 inches, the central core **12** is produced to range in size from about 3.41 to 3.43 inches, preferably about 3.42 inches (finished size). Other sizes can also be produced as desired, depending on the desired physical properties and thickness of the mantle layer **14, 15**. To produce a central core **12** in the range of about 3.41 to 3.43 inches, a mold (not illustrated) having a size of approximately 88.5 mm is preferably used. Generally, urethane systems have some shrinkage after molding, which needs to be taken into account when determining the proper mold size. For example, while an 88.5 mm mold produces an central core **12** approximately 3.484 inches in diameter, the central core **12** will shrink about 0.040 inches to produce a final central core **12** of approximately 3.444 inches in diameter.

[0021] After the central core **12** is molded, it may be further processed, for example, by sanding. The central core **12** is sanded down for two reasons. First, it gives the manufacturer the opportunity to achieve a target finished size (i.e., 3.42 inches) with a limited number of molds. Second, the surface of central core **12** generally contains mold release agent, which is necessary to remove central core **12** from the mold. The sanding of central core **12** removes the mold release layer and significantly improves the adhesion between the central core **12** and the

adjoining first outer mantle layer 14. Sanding also improves adhesion between the completed composite core 11 and the cover 16.

[0022] The selection of the urethane system and the proper mix ratio is important to achieve the desired central core compression and COR. In addition to varying the COR of the central core 12, the compression can also be affected by altering the mix ratio of the urethane system. The compression of the central core 12 is preferably about 300 to 600 lbs., more preferably about 325 and 575 lbs., and even more preferably about 325 to 475 lbs.

[0023] Any suitable urethane polymer system known in the art may be used to create both the central core 12 and mantle layers 14, 15. Generally, the urethane system is a mixture of a polyol and an isocyanate. Examples of suitable polyols include, but are not limited to, polyester polyols, polyether polyols, and combinations thereof. Examples of suitable isocyanates include, but are not limited to, diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI); toluene diisocyanate (TDI); and combinations thereof, although other suitable diisocyanates may be used. Preferably, the polyol and isocyanate are mixed at a ratio of 40 to 100 parts by weight polyol to 40 to 100 parts by weight isocyanate. Examples of commercial urethane materials suitable for use in the invention include Elastoflex® urethane systems, available from BASF, as well as urethane systems available from Bayer Chemical, Uniroyal, and the like. Preferably, the mix ratio of polyol to isocyanate is from about 100/80 to about 100/40, more preferably from about 100/70 to about 100/45, depending on the urethane system used and the compression desired. These mix ratios will produce an central core 12 having a compression of about 350 to about 550 lbs., and the central core 12 will also be able to stand 185 blows on the Spalding "Pound Test" (details discussed below). It is important to note that over-indexing the system (or changing the mix ratio of polyol to isocyanate too much from the recommended ratio) will increase the compression of central core 12 considerably, but it can compromise the durability of central core 12.

[0024] When the desired mix ratio is selected, the various components of the central core 12 are mixed using currently commercially available urethane mixing and metering equipment. A predetermined amount of the mixed urethane, preferably from about 100 to 130 grams, more preferably from about 115 to 120 grams, is then added to the mold via an "open pour" method. The mold is closed and the urethane is allowed to foam. The urethane will react and expand and take the shape of the mold. The mold then passes along a conveyor system and is opened after approximately eight minutes. The amount of time the urethane mixture remains in the mold will

have an effect on the shrinkage of central core **12**. Catalysts in the urethane system stop or shut off the reaction after a certain amount of time. This allows the urethane system to cross link and harden. As mentioned above, after molding, central core **12** is removed and, if desired, sanded to the appropriate size.

[0025] The second mantle layer **15** of the composite core **11** is preferably an elastomeric system, more preferably an elastomeric urethane system, that significantly reduces the compression of the completed composite core **11**, but does not compromise overall performance of the ball **10**. The density of the second mantle layer material **15** is preferably 20 to 40 lbs/ft³, more preferably 25 to 35 lbs/ft³. A softball **10** made with the multi-layer design of the invention will have a compression under 400 lbs. preferably under 375 lbs., more preferably under 325 lbs. if the thickness of the outer layer is about 0.125 inches or greater. The thicker the second mantle layer **15**, the lower the compression will be.

[0026] The second outer mantle layer **15** may be formed from any suitable urethane system. One preferred urethane for use in the outer layer is BASF's Elastocast® elastomeric system. The urethane system is again mixed using commercially available urethane mix and metering equipment and dispensed into a mold (not illustrated) where the central core **12** has been placed. A shot weight of from about 45 to 50 grams is added to a mold. To produce a composite core **11** of the correct size, a mold of about 94.2 mm is preferably used. Preferably, the mold has been modified with pins to hold the central core **12** in place while the first outer mantle layer **14** is molded about the central core **12**. Several stationary pins (not illustrated), preferably three or more, extend into the mold in both the top and bottom hemispheres in order to hold the central core **12** in place and ensure proper distribution of the outer layer about the central core **12**. The inventors determined that a two shot process produced a better product because it allowed the outer core layer **14, 15** to overcome the surface tension in the mold and flow properly. Half of the shot is poured into the bottom of the mold. The central core **12** is placed onto the pins in the bottom hemisphere of the mold. The second half of the shot is then poured directly over the central core **12**. This wetting of the surface helps the urethane system foam more readily. The mold is then closed and is passed along the conveying system. The urethane system reacts and expands to produce the second component, the second outer core layer **15**, of the dual core

softball design of the invention. For additional outer core layers beyond the first and second outer core layers **14, 15**, the above process is repeated with appropriate mold sizes and weights.

[0027] The 94.2 mm mold is used to produce a thickness on the second outer layer **15** of approximately 0.125 inches. The 94.2 mm mold has a diameter of 3.709 inches. As previously discussed, there is some shrinkage of central core **12**, approximately 0.040 inches during the cooling process. After molding and shrinkage, the completed composite core **11** is approximately 3.67 inches.

[0028] The size and thickness of the core layers **14, 15** are determined via the following procedure. The size of the central core **12** (approximately 3.42 inches) is subtracted from the completed size of the composite core **11** after shrinkage (about 3.67 inches). The difference (0.250 inches) is then divided by two (as there is a layer on either side of the central core **12** in a cross-section) to get the thickness of the first outer core layer **14** (0.125 inches on each side of the central core **12**). This method can be used to determine the appropriate central core **12** size for a desired outer core layer thickness. For example, for a composite core **11** with an outer core layer thickness of 0.177 inches, a finished central core size of approximately 3.334 inches would be used. To obtain this core size, an 86.5 mm mold would be necessary, which would produce a central core **12** of 3.366 inches (3.406 inches - 0.040 inches for shrinkage). Central core **12** could then be sanded down to achieve the target size of 3.334 inches. The same procedure is used for multiple layers.

[0029] In one preferred embodiment, the second mantle or outer core layer **15** is formed over the first outer core layer **14**. In one preferred embodiment, the second outer core layer **15** is very thin and harder than the first outer core layer **14**. A harder layer makes the ball **10** feel more like a traditional harder ball, while still having a low compression. In another embodiment, two or more softer layers may be molded over the central core **12**.

[0030] Additional materials, as known in the art, may be added to the central core **12**, the first and second outer core layers **14, 15**, or both, as desired. Such additional materials include water, catalysts, blowing agents, surfactants, dyes, and the like.

[0031] The material that is selected for the cover **16** depends on the weight of the completed composite core **11** and the desired finished properties and uses. The finished ball **10** weight must be between about 175 to 200 grams, preferably about 180 to 190 grams, more preferably about

185 grams. A multi-layer composite core **11** that uses a central core **12** of approximately 115 grams and an outer layer of approximately 50 grams would have to use a lightweight composite “leather” cover **16** to achieve the necessary finished ball weight. A stitched composite “leather” cover **16** would only increase the weight of the ball **10** by approximately 15 grams. In order to use a traditional leather or synthetic leather cover **16** on this ball **10**, the weight of the completed composite core **11** would have to be about 150 grams, requiring an central core weight of about 100 grams or a different thickness core layer. The lighter central core **12** is possible, but it may compromise the durability of the product. As an alternative, decreasing the density of the first or second outer mantle layer **14, 15** would decrease the weight of the composite core **11**. However, decreases in density often result in drops in COR performance of central core **12**.

EXAMPLES

[0032] In the following examples, sample multi-layer softballs **10** were made using a 100 gram shot for the central core **12**. The samples were made with two different outer core layer thicknesses (0.1375 and 0.177 inches) at two COR levels (approximately 0.44 and 0.47).

[0033] Coefficient of Restitution (COR) of the softball was measured by a Jugs® pitching machine (as sold by The Jugs Company) with ballistic screens. In the test, the softball **10** was propelled by two rotating pneumatic tires at a ball speed of 88 ft/sec. against a steel plate positioned eight feet from the point where the softball **10** is pinched and subsequently hurled by the rotating tires. The COR is return or rebound velocity divided by the initial velocity.

[0034] Durability of the softball **10** was measured using the Spalding durability “Pound Test”. To perform the test, central core **12** is placed in a retainer cup of a softball pound tester. The hammer used for pounding the ball is placed approximately 98 3/4 inches from the ball. The hammer weights about 7 1/2 pounds, the radius of the hammer is about 13/32 inches, and it travels at a speed of about 20.83 to 20.84 ft/sec. The test consists of up to 185 blows to the ball. If the ball cracks, fewer blows are made. After testing, the balls are placed in a cold room for 2 hours before any post-pound test measurements are taken.

Example 1:

[0035] A first group of multi-layer softballs **10** was produced. The central core **12** was produced according to the parameters in Table 1. Both 0.440 and 0.470 COR softballs **10** were made for testing. Two different, but similar, urethane systems were used for each size. The

central cores **12** of the 0.44 COR products were made with BASF Elastoflex 25066R urethane, while the 0.47 COR products were made with BASF Elastoflex 25063R urethane. Multi-layer variations 1 and 2 were produced with an outer mantle layer **14** having a thickness of about 0.177 inches. Variations 1 and 2 were produced using an 86.5 mm mold for the central core **12** and a 94.7 mm mold for the outer mantle layer **14**. Multi-layer variations 3 and 4 were made with an 88.5 mm mold for the central core **12** with a 94.7 mm. mold for the outer mantle layer **14**, and the outer mantle layer **14** has a thickness of about 0.1375 inches.

[0036] Variations 1 and 2 were compared to the core of a Dudley™ WT-12RF80 softball. Variation 1 compared very favorably to the control core. The COR of Variation 1 was higher than the COR of the control core at 60 mph, and very close to the COR of the control core at 40 and 80 mph. However, the compression of Variation 1 was only 171 lbs., which was considerably lower than the 565 lbs. compression of the control. Variation 2 had a thinner outer mantle layer **14** (0.1375 inches) than Variation 1 (0.177 inches). The compression of Variation 2 was 200 lbs. The COR of Variation 2 was slightly lower than the WT-12 RF80 control ball, but within legal limits. Variation 2 multi-layer balls **10** had higher COR values than the Dudley™ WS-12 RF80 at 40, 60, and 80 mph. Variation 2 was chosen for the player test because it was closer to desired final product specifications, which include a multi-layer softball **10** with an outer mantle layer **14** of approximately 0.125 inches. Additionally, the thinner outer core layer produced a softball having a firmer feel than ball of Variation 1.

[0037] The 0.47 COR multi-layer samples (Variations 3 and 4) were tested against the Dudley™ WT-12RF. Both multi-layer softballs **10** had significantly lower compressions than the control (240 lbs. or less for the multi-layers vs. 494 lbs. for the control). Variation 3 had an outer mantle layer **14** with a thickness of about 0.177 inches, and higher COR values than the control at 40, 60, and 80 mph. Variation 4 had COR values that were very similar to the control balls at all three firing velocities. Both of the multi-layer balls **10** produced survived 185 blows for the durability test. The durability of these central cores **12** was not quite as good as earlier samples because of the selected shot weight. These samples used a 100 gram shot weight, instead of a 115 gram that provides better durability.

TABLE 1

	A	B	C	D
Urethane System	Elastoflex™ 25066R	Elastoflex™ 25063R	Elastoflex™ 25066R	Elastoflex™ 25063R
C.O.R.	0.440	0.470	0.440	0.470
Mix Ratio	100/71.5 - 100/72.0	100/66.0 - 100/66.5	100/71.5 - 100/72.0	100/66.0 - 100/66.5
Mold Size	86.5 mm	86.5 mm	88.5 mm	88.5 mm
Sanded Core Weight	100 Grams	100 Grams	100 Grams	100 Grams
Size Range of Sanded Cores	3.345" - 3.365"	3.345" - 3.365"	3.420 - 3.440"	3.420 - 3.440"

[0038] The outer mantle layer 14 was molded using 94.7 mm molds with the modified pins. The outer mantle layer 14 was molded to have a thickness of about 4.5 mm (approximately 0.177 inches) using the 3.35 inches (nominal) central cores 12 shown in Table 1 (Cores A and C), and about 3.49 mm thick (approximately 0.1375 inches) using the 3.43 inches (nominal) central cores (Cores B and D). All outer mantle layers 14 were molded using the Elastocast™ urethane system. The multi-layer cores 11 were tested for size, weight, compression, COR and durability.

Test results are shown in TABLES 2 and 3 below.

TABLE 2

Variation #1							
Central core 'A' (.44 COR Central core - 86.5 mm Mold) With BASF Elastocast™ Outer Layer							
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)
A	Elastocast™	1	148.6	3.701	3.700	177.4	161.4
A	Elastocast™	2	148.0	3.683	3.697	195.5	175.3
A	Elastocast™	3	146.3	3.683	3.697	177.4	156.1
A	Elastocast™	4	150.4	3.698	3.701	156.7	164
A	Elastocast™	5	145.2	3.685	3.703	179.7	157.1
A	Elastocast™	6	145.9	3.682	3.703	190.0	160.4
		Ave.	147.4	3.689	3.700	170.9	
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph	Durability	
A	Elastocast™	1	0.489	0.444	0.408	185 blows	
A	Elastocast™	2	0.494	0.451	0.404		
		Ave.	0.492	0.448	0.406		

Variation # 2							
Central core 'C' (.44 COR Central core - 88.5 mm. Mold) With BASF Elastocast™ Outer Layer							
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)
C	Elastocast™	1	143.6	3.698	3.703	213.9	209.7
C	Elastocast™	2	144.7	3.696	3.706	199.0	201.4
C	Elastocast™	3	140.0	3.670	3.701	225.9	197.4
C	Elastocast™	4*	144.8	3.689	3.706	212.9	205.3
C	Elastocast™	5*	142.7	3.699	3.709	187.7	180.5
C	Elastocast™	6*	145.5	3.699	3.708	206.5	206.6
		Ave.	143.6	3.692	3.706	203.9	
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph		Durability
C	Elastocast™	1	0.485	0.432	0.397		Some denting
C	Elastocast™	2	0.488	0.437	0.404		
		Ave.	0.487	0.435	0.401		

* Denotes Cores that had a Leather Cover Stitched Over them.

0.44 Control							
Item #	Control Core	Ball	Comp. Pole	Comp. Eq.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph
43-141	WT 12 RF80 (COR.44)	1	567.5	567.8	0.492	0.439	0.405
43-141	WT 12 RF80 (COR.44)	2	566.4	559.8	0.497	0.439	0.409
		Ave.	565.4		0.495	0.439	0.407
Item #	Control Core	Ball	Comp. Pole	Comp. Eq.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph
43-221	WS 12 RF80 (COR.44)	1	412	---	0.475	0.433	0.397
43-221	WS 12 RF80 (COR.44)	2	418	---	0.465	0.429	0.398
		Ave.	415.0		0.470	0.431	0.398

TABLE 3

			Variation #3				
Central core 'B' (.47 COR Central core - 86.5 mm. Mold) With BASF Outer Layer							
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)
B	Elastocast™	1	149.6	3.678	3.698	201.2	172.8
B	Elastocast™	2	149.7	3.684	3.691	183.8	180
B	Elastocast™	3	150.7	3.688	3.693	186.7	173.8
B	Elastocast™	4	147.5	3.689	3.696	167.7	157.5
B	Elastocast™	5	149.8	3.701	3.704	172.7	166.1
B	Elastocast™	6	147.5	3.682	3.693	173.3	156.6
		Ave.	149.1	3.687	3.696	174.4	
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph		Durability
B	Elastocast™	1	0.522	0.471	0.435		185 blows (minor denting)
B	Elastocast™	2	0.523	0.470	0.438		
		Ave.	0.523	0.471	0.437		
Variation #4							
Central core 'D' (.47 COR Central core - 88.5 mm. Mold) With BASF Elastocast™ Outer Layer							
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)
D	Elastocast™	1	147.5	3.677	3.698	262.9	239.7
D	Elastocast™	2	143.5	3.672	3.698	247.9	233.6
D	Elastocast™	3	147.4	3.696	3.712	234.2	229.8
D	Elastocast™	4*	147.3	3.701	3.710	232.8	232
D	Elastocast™	5*	147.4	3.695	3.700	249.4	230.4
D	Elastocast™	6*	147.1	3.699	3.711	242.9	232.4
		Ave.	146.7	3.690	3.705	239.0	
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph		Durability
D	Elastocast™	1	0.517	0.465	0.429		185 blows (minor denting)
D	Elastocast™	2	0.517	0.463	0.427		
		Ave.	0.517	0.464	0.428		
* Denotes Cores that had a Leather Cover Stitched Over them.							
			0.47 Control				

Item #	Control Core	Ball	Comp. Pole	Comp. Eq.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph
43-131	WT 12RF (COR .47)	1	519.3	513.2	0.521	0.471	0.433
43-131	WT (COR .47)	3	473.6	470.8	0.515	0.467	0.432
43-131	WT 12RF (COR .47)	5	501.1	488.7	0.512	0.463	0.432
			Average		0.516	0.467	0.432

[0039] Initial field tests that were conducted using the multi-layer softballs **10** produced in Example 1 yielded positive comments from athletes with different skill levels, ranging from players new to the game to players having played for as many as 25 years. The tests were conducted at Rivers Park in Chicopee, MA. Variations #2 and #4 were compared to Dudley's WT12-RF softball, which is a 0.47 COR softball. Both of the multi-layer ball **10** samples were stitched with leather covers **16**. The two central cores **12** were made with approximately 100 gram shot weights, which allowed the use of the heavier leather cover **16**. Variation #2 was a 0.44 COR ball made with a 0.138 inch outer core layer, while variation #4 was a 0.47 COR ball with the same outer core layer thickness. All of the test balls **10** had a final weight (including the cover) of approximately 185 grams. The athletes were pitched **16** balls total in the following sequence: five control balls, three multi-layer balls (#4), five controls, and three multi-layer balls (#2). The players were then asked to fill out a questionnaire that compared the multi-layer softballs **10** to the controls. The survey focused on the feel of the new product on impact, the distance, the sound, the flight consistency, and any additional concerns or comments. In this initial test, both types of sample softballs were tested against the WT-12RF to avoid confusion. Later player tests compared 0.44 and 0.47 COR multi-layer core softballs versus control softballs at the same COR level.

[0040] The overwhelming response by the players was that the multi-layer softball **10** was softer than the traditional control ball, but traveled the same distance as the control. All of the participants felt that the flight of the ball **10** was consistent each time the ball **10** was hit. Players did notice a difference in the sound of the ball off the bat, commenting that there were "lower pitched sounds" and "less ping" when the ball **10** was struck. Some benefits of the multi-layer softball **10** that were mentioned included "the ball was slightly softer and easier to hit through."

Additional comments referred to "less sting in the hands on miss-hits." The players' feedback did correlate well to the static data of the softballs. The multi-layer softball products had compressions that were just under 240 lbs., while the WT-12RF was just over 500 lbs. The COR values for the 0.47 COR multi-layer product was similar to the COR values of the 0.47 COR control ball at 40, 60, and 80 mph.

Example 2

[0041] Based on the data obtained using the balls 10 produced in Example 1, another set of multi-layer softballs 10 were produced, as shown in TABLE 4 below. The central cores 12 were made to be approximately 3.42 inches in diameter, and the outer mantle layer 14 was approximately 0.125 inches thick. The central core 12 was made with about a 115 gram shot weight (instead of a 100 gram shot weight as in Example 1), which increased the durability of the final product. The thinner outer mantle layer 15 increased the compression of the completed composite core 11, but maintained it at a level of under 325 lbs. for the final softball 10. The additional weight in the central core limited the weight, and therefore the type, of cover 16 used. The samples produced in Example 2 had a stitched composite leather cover 16 to obtain the proper finished ball weight. If a leather cover 16 is desired, the weight of the central core 12 or the density of the outer core material must be decreased.

[0042] As in Example 1, both 0.440 and 0.470 COR softballs 10 were made for testing. Two different urethane systems at two different mix ratios were used for each COR level. In this example, the central cores 12 were molded in the 88.5 mm molds and sanded down to a finished size of 3.41 - 3.43 inches, preferably about 3.42 inches.

TABLE 4

	E	F	G	H
BASF Urethane System	Elastoflex 25066R	Elastoflex 25066R	Elastoflex 25063R	Elastoflex 25063R
C.O.R.	0.440	0.440	0.470	0.470
Mix Ratio	100/71.5 - 100/72.0	100/75.0 - 100/75.5	100/66.0 - 100/66.5	100/69.0 - 100/69.5
Mold Size	88.5 mm	88.5 mm	88.5 mm	88.5 mm
Sanded Core Weight	115-120 grams	115-120 grams	115-120 grams	115-120 grams
Size Range of Sanded Cores	3.410 - 3.430"	3.410 - 3.430"	3.410 - 3.430"	3.410 - 3.430"

[0043] Based on test results of the central cores 12, core types F and H were selected to have the outer mantle layer 14 molded over them. The outer mantle layer 14 was molded on the central core 12 using 94.2 mm molds with the modified pins. The outer mantle layer 14 was molded to have a thickness of about 0.125 to 0.135 inches. All mantle 14, 15 layers were molded using BASF's Elastocast™ urethane system. Composite covers 16 were then stitched over the multi-layer cores 11 to produce finished softballs for testing. The cores 12 and finished balls 10 were tested for size, weight, compression, COR and durability, and results are shown in TABLES 5 to 7 below.

TABLE 5

Central core 'F' (.44 COR Central core – 88.5 mm Mold) With Elastocast™ Outer Layer							
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)
F	Elastocast™	1	160.8	3.668	3.680	320.3	295
F	Elastocast™	2	160.5	3.658	3.681	340.0	311.4
F	Elastocast™	3	158.9	3.661	3.671	300.1	280.5
F	Elastocast™	4	159.1	3.658	3.672	364.7	317.8
F	Elastocast™	5	163.6	3.669	3.677	323.6	323.3
F	Elastocast™	6	163.5	3.663	3.682	313.6	296.4
		Ave.	161.1	3.663	3.677	315.6	
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph		Durability
F	Elastocast™	1	0.518	0.459	0.425		185 Blows
F	Elastocast™	2	0.520	0.459	0.427		185 Blows
F	Elastocast™	3	0.520	0.455	0.425		
		Ave.	0.519	0.458	0.426		
<u>0.44 COR Control</u>							
<u>WS-12RF80</u>							
Ball #	Weight	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole	Comp. Eq.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph
1	146.9	3.660	3.687	404.6	422.4	0.478	0.429
2	145.9	3.662	3.673	391.3	405.2	0.472	0.425
3	146.0	3.651	3.677	407.8	411.6	0.478	0.423
Ave.	146.3	3.658	3.679	407.2		0.476	0.426

TABLE 6

Central core 'H' (0.47 COR Central core – 88.5 mm. Mold) With BASF Outer Layer								
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	
H	Elastocast ™	1	160.8	3.663	3.675	347.0	299.4	
H	Elastocast ™	2	158.4	3.667	3.678	299.8	269.6	
H	Elastocast ™	3	160.6	3.665	3.678	315.5	280.6	
H	Elastocast ™	4	160.1	3.666	3.675	325.7	291.4	
H	Elastocast ™	5	162.3	3.679	3.677	339.9	292.1	
H	Elastocast ™	6	162.8	3.668	3.675	336.8	298.7	
		Ave.	160.8	3.668	3.676	308.0		
Central core	Mantle Layer	Core No.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph		Durability	
H	Elastocast ™	1	0.527	0.475	0.442		185 Blows	
H	Elastocast ™	2	0.530	0.479	0.439		185 Blows	
H	Elastocast ™	3	0.530	0.479	0.441			
		Ave.	0.529	0.478	0.441			
<i>0.47 COR Control – WT-12RF</i>								
Ball #	Weight	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp . Pole	Comp. Eq.	COR @ 40 mph	COR @ 60 mph	COR @ 80 mph
1	145.5	3.680	3.680	454.6	434.6	0.520	0.466	0.435
2	146.4	3.680	3.680	429.0	438.1	0.524	0.471	0.434
3	146.8	3.675	3.683	421.8	434.0	0.514	0.465	0.434
Ave.	146.2	3.678	3.681	435.4		0.519	0.467	0.434

TABLE 7

<i>Multi-Layer: Variation F Central core / Elastocast™ Outer Layer – 0.44 COR – White ZN Composite Cover</i>
--

Ball No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	Durability
1	186.3	12"	11 15/16"	251.4	277.9	0.465	185 Blows
2	183.6	11 15/16"	11 15/16"	274.8	269.6	0.458	Good
3	185.5	11 15/16"	12"	239.8	245.5	0.459	
Ave.	185.1	11 15/16"	11 15/16"	259.8		0.461	

A dozen of these balls were used in the player test. During the test, the athletes put 8 to 80 blows on each ball.

Two of these balls were then subjected to 185 blows in the Spalding pound test machine in 30 blow increments.

The balls held up well and did not show any significant out of round.

0.44 COR Control – WS-12RF80

Ball No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	Durability
1	186.8	12"	12"	381.4	378.6	0.418	
2	184.9	12"	12"	384.8	379.9	0.417	
3	185.5	12"	12"	393.3	386.6	0.419	
Ave.	185.7	12"	12"	384.1		0.418	N/A

Multi-Layer: Variation H Central core / Elastocast™ Outer Layer – 0.47

COR – White ZN Composite Cover

Ball No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	Durability
1	186.9	11 15/16"	11 15/16"	226.8	239.2	0.476	185 Blows
2	186.3	11 15/16"	11 15/16"	242.2	238.5	0.475	Good
3	184.5	12"	11 15/16"	237.5	226.7	0.479	
Ave.	185.9	11 15/16"	11 15/16"	235.2		0.477	

A dozen of these balls were used in the player test. During the test, the athletes put 8 to 80 blows on each ball.

Two of these balls were then subjected to 190 blows in the Spalding pound test machine in 30 blow increments.

The balls held up well and did not show any significant out of round.							
0.47 COR Control – WT-12RF							
Ball No.	Weight (g)	Size Pole (in)	Size Eq. (in)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	Durability
1	187.9	12"	12"	451.9	453.5	0.464	
2	190.0	12"	12"	444.4	429.0	0.462	
3	188.6	12"	12"	445.7	424.3	0.463	
Ave.	188.8	12"	12"	441.5		0.463	N/A

[0044] The final softballs 10 were then field tested to determine the playability of the new multi-layer softball 10 of the invention. The focus of the field test was to obtain feedback on the feel, performance, sound, flight characteristics, distance, durability, and consistency of the product verses a comparable Dudley control softball. The players that participated in the trial were AA - Majors competitive level players. Field test results are shown below in Tables 8 to 10. Tables 8 and 9 show individual hitting and distance results using the 0.44 COR and 0.47 COR softballs, and Table 10 shows the combined distance results from all participants for both types of softballs. The field test procedure used is as follows:

[0045] Players warmed up with the test balls 10. Players were asked to comment on the feel of the ball 10 during the throwing and catching session by answering several questions about the feel of the ball 10.

[0046] Following the informal throwing portion of the test, each player participated in the batting portion of the study.

[0047] Each player took 24 swings per round with two to four rounds per athlete. The multi-layer softballs 10 and the control softballs were pitched in somewhat random fashion so that each player hit 6 controls, 6 multi-layers, 6 controls, and then 6 multi-layers. All balls hit over a minimum distance of 300 feet as determined by a range finder (Bushnell Yardage Pro range finder) were recorded. The 300 foot distance is a means of controlling the flight trajectory of the hit ball when tabulating and comparing distance measurements for each type of ball, and it groups the distance data and allows for better statistical representation. Hits that did not travel the required minimum distance were omitted. Ground balls were designated 'GND', line drives were denoted 'LNR', and pop ups were labeled 'POP'. Each athlete was asked to provide feedback on

the feel of the ball off the bat, the flight of the ball, the sound of impact, and the consistency of the product from swing to swing using the following questions:

- [0048] How did the ball feel during the throwing and catching portion of the test? Did the ball feel like a traditional softball?
- [0049] How did the ball feel upon impact with the bat? Did the ball feel solid upon impact?
- [0050] How would you rate the liveliness of the new product verses the Dudley control? Did the ball jump off the bat?
- [0051] Did the new product sting less, more or the same as the control ball when you hit it?
- [0052] How did the new product sound when it was struck (i.e., crack off the bat)? Was it any different than the control ball?
- [0053] If so, do you think the sound was acceptable?
- [0054] How was the flight path of the new product verses the control? Did the ball fly straight after contact? Was there any excessive knuckling of the ball through the air?
- [0055] How would you rate the distance of the new product verses the control?

TABLE 8

0.44 COR vs. Control – Individual Distances Recorded					
Test Prod.	Multi-Layer Softballs	Controls	Dudley Thunder Heat		
	White ZN Composite Cover		WS-12RF80 Poly Core		
	Gold Stitch		Synthetic Cover, Gold Stitch		
	COR 0.44		COR 0.44		
			Comp. ~385 lbs.		
Test Site	Soddy Daisy, TN				
Field	South Park				
Weather	85°F, Sunny, Relatively No wind				
Date	Sunday June 16, 2002				
Player #	1		Player #	2	
Bat Type	Mizuno Rage 28 oz		Bat Type	Easton Trishell 30 oz.	
<i>Ball Number</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.44 Multi-Layer</i>	<i>Ball Number</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.44 Multi-Layer</i>
1	GND	306	1	POP	330
2	LNR	GND	2	LNR	POP
3	POP	378	3	POP	324
4	GND	300	4	POP	LNR
5	POP	318	5	360	381
6	387	390	6	309	LNR
7	POP	384	7	POP	LNR
8	POP	GND	8	303	393

9	384	330		9	POP	300	
10	300	402		10	GND	315	
11	303	381		11	312	300	
12	303	381		12	POP	318	
Average Distance	335.4	357		Average Distance	321	332.6	
Std. Dev.	45.76	38.78		Std. Dev.	26.27	35.29	
Longest Hit	387	402		Longest Hit	360	393	
Ave of Top 3 Hits	358	392		Ave of Top 3 Hits	327	368	
Notes:				Notes:			
No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.				No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.			
Player #	3			Player #	4		
Bat Type	Worth PST 28 oz.			Bat Type	Worth PST 137 28 oz and Mizuno Techfire		
<i>Ball Number</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.44 Multi-Layer</i>		<i>Ball Number</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.44 Multi-Layer</i>	
1	GND	GND		1	POP	387	
2	GND	LNR		2	363	POP	
3	324	LNR		3	324	321	
4	POP	GND		4	372	GND	
5	LNR	GND		5	330	LNR	
6	318	345		6	306	384	
7	LNR	330		7	309	GND	
8	LNR	324		8	LNR	390	
9	GND	LNR		9	324	306	
10	387	LNR		10	LNR	LNR	
11	345	336		11	318	306	
12	LNR	LNR		12	312	333	
Average Distance	343.5	333.8		Average Distance	328.7	346.7	
Std. Deviation	31.22	8.96		Std. Deviation	23.44	38.84	
Longest Hit	387	345		Longest Hit	372	390	
Ave of Top 3 Hits	352	337		Ave of Top 3 Hits	355	387	
Notes:				Notes:			
No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.				No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.			
Player #	5			Player #	6		
Bat Type	Worth PST 28 oz.			Bat Type	Worth PST 137 28 oz.		

<i>Ball Number</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.44 Multi-Layer</i>	<i>Ball Number</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.44 Multi-Layer</i>
1	318	402	1	POP	GND
2	345	327	2	POP	315
3	315	LNR	3	318	GND
4	GND	408	4	396	312
5	GND	321	5	366	LNR
6	LNR	LNR	6	375	LNR
7	321	390	7	369	375
8	342	381	8	381	LNR
9	POP	390	9	LNR	423
10	330	LNR	10	369	POP
11	GND	387	11	324	GND
12	372	387	12	324	GND
Average Distance		334.7	377	Average Distance	358
Std. Deviation		20.11	31.18	Std. Deviation	28.46
Longest Hit		372	408	Longest Hit	396
Ave of Top 3 Hits		353	400	Ave of Top 3 Hits	384
Notes:		Notes:		No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.	
No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.					
Player #	7				
Bat Type	Worth PST 137 28 oz.				
<i>Ball #</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.44 Multi-Layer</i>	Legend:		
1	312	LNR	GND = Grounder		
2	372	LNR	LNR = Liner		
3	318	POP	POP = Pop Fly		
4	357	GND			
5	375	390			
6	384	306			
7	363	372			
8	354	LNR			
9	369	366			
10	378	408			
11	306	378			
12	315	321			
Ave. Dist.	350.3	363			
Std. Dev.	29.03	36.70			

Longest Hit	384	408				
Ave of Top 3 Hits	378	392				
Notes:						
No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.						
Between 7 and 10 hits are on the balls up to this point.						

TABLE 9

0.47 COR vs. Control						
Individual Distance Recorded						
Test Prod.	Multi-Layer Softballs			Control	Dudley Thunder Heat	
	White ZN Composite Cover				WT12-RF Poly Core	
	Red Stitch				White Leather Cover, Red Stitch	
	COR 0.47				COR 0.47	
					Comp. ~440 lbs.	
Player #	1			Player #	2	
Bat Type	Worth PST 28 oz.			Bat Type	Worth Trishell 30 oz.	
Ball #	Control	0.47 Multi-Layer		Ball #	Control	0.47 Multi-Layer
1	GND	378		1	315	GND
2	GND	GND		2	318	POP
3	GND	312		3	327	345
4	Foul	GND		4	POP	345
5	LNR	330		5	POP	366
6	LNR	363		6	330	336
7	312	FOUL		7	318	357
8	324	GND		8	GND	POP
9	GND	399		9	LNR	369
10	360	375		10	POP	378
11	LNR	363		11	300	POP
12	GND	399		12	336	POP
Ave. Dist.	332	364.9		Ave. Dist.	320.6	356.6
Std. Dev.	24.98	30.76		Std. Dev.	11.80	15.24
Longest Hit	360	399		Longest Hit	336	378
Ave of Top 3 Hits	332	392		Ave of Top 3 Hits	331	371
Notes:				Notes:		
No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.				No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.		
Player #	3			Player #	4	
Bat Type	Worth PST 28 oz			Bat Type	Mizuno Techfire	
Ball #	Control	0.47 Multi-Layer		Ball #	Control	0.47 Multi-Layer

1	315	315		1	LNR	324	
2	LNR	LNR		2	327	327	
3	LNR	LNR		3	363	GND	
4	336	369		4	345	315	
5	372	LNR		5	321	318	
6	363	405		6	327	336	
7	360	POP		7	330	306	
8	318	GND		8	LNR	336	
9	LNR	354		9	318	LNR	
10	345	GND		10	Foul	318	
11	366	342		11	LNR	POP	
12	306	GND		12	318	345	
Ave. Dist.	342.3	357		Ave. Dist.	331	325	
Std. Dev.	24.71	33.34		Std. Dev.	15.54	12.28	
Longest Hit	372	405		Longest Hit	363	345	
Ave Top 3 Hits	367	376		Ave Top 3 Hits	346	339	
Notes:				Notes:			
No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.				No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.			
				Between 8 and 10 hits per ball are on the balls up to this point.			
Player #	5			Player #	6		
Bat Type	Worth PST 28 oz			Bat Type	Worth PST 137 28 oz		
<i>Ball #</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.47 Multi-Layer</i>		<i>Ball #</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.47 Multi-Layer</i>	
1	GND	402		1	GND	375	
2	GND	393		2	LNR	LNR	
3	324	LNR		3	GND	GND	
4	321	LNR		4	LNR	LNR	
5	372	LNR		5	GND	375	
6	306	369		6	LNR	LNR	
7	312	GND		7	LNR	GND	
8	324	324		8	LNR	LNR	
9	318	372		9	GND	GND	
10	POP	408		10	LNR	GND	
11	318	LNR		11	378	LNR	
12	POP	LNR		12	336	LNR	
Ave. Dist.	324	378		Ave. Dist.	357	375	
Std. Dev.	20.18	30.77		Std. Dev.	29.70	0.00	
Longest Hit	372	408		Longest Hit	378	375	

Ave Top 3 Hits	340	401		Ave Top 3 Hits	---	---	
Notes:				Notes:			
No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.				No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.			
Player #	7			Player #	8		
Bat Type	Worth PST 137 28 oz.			Bat Type	Mizuno Techfire		
<i>Ball #</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.47 Multi-Layer</i>		<i>Ball #</i>	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.47 Multi-Layer</i>	
1	327	GND		1	363	366	
2	321	336		2	LNR	327	
3	GND	372		3	GND	LNR	
4	GND	LNR		4	366	GND	
5	399	LNR		5	GND	LNR	
6	369	390		6	LNR	GND	
7	LNR	393		7	LNR	GND	
8	GND	378		8	GND	GND	
9	336	POP		9	345	405	
10	321	378		10	LNR	GND	
11	318	315		11	GND	GND	
12	315	LNR		12	318	LNR	
Ave. Dist.	338.3	366		Ave. Dist.	348	366	
Std. Dev.	30.03	29.24		Std. Dev.	22.05	39.00	
Longest Hit	399	393		Longest Hit	366	405	
Ave Top 3 Hits	368	387		Ave Top 3 Hits	358	366	
Notes:				Notes:			
No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.				No significant out of round or denting on the balls after testing.			

TABLE 10

Total Distance Statistics					
Test Products	Multi-Layer Softballs		Controls	Dudley Thunder Heat	
	White ZN Composite Cover			WS-12RF80 Poly Core	
	Gold Stitch			Synthetic Cover, Gold Stitch	
	COR 0.44			COR 0.44	
				Comp. ~385 lbs.	
Test Products	Multi-Layer Softballs		Controls	Dudley Thunder Heat	
	White ZN Composite Cover			WT12-RF Poly Core	
	Red Stitch			White Leather Cover, Red Stitch	
	COR 0.47			COR 0.47	
				Comp. ~440 lbs.	
	0.44 COR Products			0.47 COR Products	
Hit Number	Control	0.44 Multi-Layer	Hit Number	Control	0.47 Multi- Layer
1	396	423	1	399	408
2	387	408	2	378	405
3	387	408	3	372	402
4	384	402	4	372	399
5	384	402	5	369	399
6	381	393	6	366	393
7	378	390	7	363	393
8	375	390	8	363	390
9	375	390	9	360	378
10	372	390	10	360	378
11	372	390	11	345	378
12	372	387	12	345	378
13	369	387	13	336	375
14	369	387	14	336	375
15	369	384	15	336	375
16	366	384	16	336	372
17	363	381	17	330	372
18	363	381	18	330	369
19	360	381	19	327	369
20	357	381	20	327	369
21	354	378	21	327	366
22	345	378	22	327	363
23	345	375	23	324	363

24	342	372		24	324	357	
25	330	366		25	324	354	
26	330	345		26	321	345	
27	324	336		27	321	345	
28	324	333		28	321	345	
29	324	330		29	321	342	
30	324	330		30	318	336	
31	324	330		31	318	336	
32	321	327		32	318	336	
33	318	324		33	318	336	
34	318	324		34	318	330	
35	318	321		35	318	327	
36	318	321		36	318	324	
37	318	321		37	318	324	
38	315	318		38	315	318	
39	315	318		39	315	318	
40	312	315		40	315	315	
41	312	315		41	312	315	
42	312	312		42	312	315	
43	309	306		43	306	312	
44	309	306		44	306	306	
45	306	306		45	300		
46	306	306					
47	303	300					
48	303	300					
49	303	300					
50	300						
	<i>Control</i>	<i>0.44 Multi-Layer</i>			<i>Control</i>	<i>0.47 ML</i>	
Ave. Dist.	341	354		Ave. Dist.	333	357	
All Hits				All Hits			
Std. Dev.	30	37		Std. Dev.	23	29	
Max. Dist.	396	423		Max. Dist.	399	408	
Average of Top 3 Hits	390	413		Average of Top 3 Hits	383	405	
Average of Top 5 Hits	388	409		Average of Top 5 Hits	378	403	
Average of Top 10 Hits	382	400		Average of Top 10 Hits	370	395	
Average of Top 15 Hits	378	395		Average of Top 15 Hits	360	388	
Average of Top 20 Hits	374	392		Average of Top 20 Hits	353	384	

[0056] Additional testing was performed on another batch of softballs 10. The softballs 10 were constructed in the manner previously described at both the 0.44 and 0.47 COR levels. The central cores 12 were produced using urethane available in Taiwan under the designations T11-0.40 and T11-0.44 respectively. The central core 12 of the 0.44 COR multi-layer ball 10 was produced using a mix ratio of about 100/52, and the central core 12 of the 0.47 COR ball 10 was produced using a mix ratio of about 100/54. The thickness of the outer mantle layer 14 was 0.125-0.135 inches, and the outer mantle layer 14 was molded using a mold size of 94.2 mm. Mantle layers 14, 15 for both balls 10 were molded using the BASF Elastocast 70018R system with WUC 3236T isocyanate. Measurements of the softballs were taken, and results are shown below in TABLES 11 and 12.

TABLE 11

0.44 COR Multi-Layer Finished Balls Made							
<u>Finished Ball</u>							
Dudley Thunder Advance MLT 12 44							
White ZN Composite Cover							
0.44 COR Version							
Core No.	Size Pole (in)	Weight (g)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	30 Blow Durability (60,90,120)	185 Blow Durability
1	12"	182.3	218.7	184.4	0.445	-----	-----
2	12"	184.3	267.8	261.1	0.438	-----	-----
3	12"	181.4	227.4	220.8	-----	Good after 30 blows	-----
4	12"	180.2	230.4	239.8	-----	Good after 30 blows	Good – No Cracking
5	12"	186.9	289.5	274.7	-----	Cover and Mantle Removed – Core Data Below	-----
6	12"	184.0	263.4	257.0	-----	Cover and Mantle Removed – Core Data Below	-----
7	-----	-----	256.30	228.30	-----	-----	-----
8	-----	-----	232.70	230.20	-----	-----	-----
9	-----	-----	282.70	257.60	-----	-----	-----
10	-----	-----	295.60	299.80	-----	-----	-----
11	-----	-----	269.90	262.60	-----	-----	-----

12	-----	-----	206.90	217.50	-----	-----	-----	
Average	12"	183.2	249.0		0.442	Good	Good	
Min.	0.000	180.2	184.4		0.438			
Max.	0.000	186.9	299.8		0.445			

Cover to Mantle Adhesion was pretty good. The cover could be peeled without much force. Mantle to Core Adhesion was very good.

		<u>Central core Data</u> <u>- Changes Over</u> <u>Time</u>							
		First Test		Second Test (after 3 days)				Third Test (after 1 week)	
Core Number	Weight (g)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	COR	COR
5	123.2	406.6	380.8	0.431	446.8	443.5	0.433	0.433	
6	120.6	372.7	392.8	0.429	418.0	424.6	0.431	0.436	
Average	121.9	388.2		0.430	433.2		0.432	0.435	
		<u>Completed Balls - Changes Over</u> <u>Time</u>							
		First Test		Second Test (after 3 days)				Third Test (after 1 week)	
Core Number	Weight (g)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	COR	COR
1	182.3	218.7	184.4	0.445	234.5	197.3	0.450	0.443	
2	184.3	267.8	261.1	0.438	293.0	281.5	0.439	0.435	
7	-----	-----	-----	-----	287.3	258.2	0.439	0.438	
8	-----	-----	-----	-----	262.2	245.6	0.440	0.438	
Average	183.3	233.0		0.442	257.5		0.442	0.439	

TABLE 12

Statics for 0.47 COR Multi-Layer Finished Balls							
Finished Ball							
Dudley Thunder Advance MLT 12 RF							
White ZN Composite Cover							
0.47 COR Version							
Core No.	Size Pole (in)	Weight (g)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	30 Blow Durability (60,90,120)	185 Blow Durability
1	12"	181.2	204.6	198.3	0.445	-----	-----
2	12"	182.8	222.6	223.2	0.446	-----	-----
3	12"	181.7	205.9	205.5	0.448	-----	-----
4	12"	183.2	208.9	202.1	-----	Good after 30 Blows	Good
5	12"	182.3	211.7	206.7	-----	-----	-----
6	12"	181.8	211.8	222.8	-----	-----	-----
Ave.	12"	182.2	210.3		0.446	Good	Good
Min.	0.000	181.2	198.3		0.445		
Max.	0.000	183.2	223.2		0.448		
Original Data							
Dudley Thunder Advance MLT 12 44							
White ZN Composite Cover		0.44 COR Version					
Core No.	Size Pole (in)	Weight (g)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	30 Blow Durability (60,90,120)	185 Blow Durability
1	12"	183.8	229.7	222.4	0.455	Good	Good
2	12"	186.7	230.4	240.2	0.454	Good	
3	12"	183.8	209.4	223.1	-----	-----	-----
4	12"	185.4	249.1	205.4	-----	-----	-----
5	12"	187.4	233.9	223.4	-----	-----	-----
6	12"	180.8	200.2	201.8	-----	-----	-----
7	-----	181.9	232.2	227.7	-----	-----	-----
8	-----	183.9	241.8	241.7	-----	-----	-----
9	-----	186.8	216.9	214.9	-----	-----	-----
10	-----	186.6	224.7	227.8	-----	-----	-----
11	-----	184.6	232.7	237.0	-----	-----	-----
12	-----	182.3	207.8	212.4	-----	-----	-----
Ave.	12"	184.5	224.4		0.455	Good	
Min.	0.000	180.8	200.2		0.454		
Max.	0.000	187.4	249.1		0.455		

[0057] The softballs 10 were tested in a manner similar to those tested in Example 2. There were 4 different balls tested: a control (Dudley Thunder SW-12RF80 Softball); the 0.44 COR version multi-layer ball 10 (Dudley Thunder Advance); the 0.47 COR version multi-layer ball 10 (Dudley Thunder Advance); and the 0.44 COR version of the multi-layer ball 10 of Example 2 (Dudley Innova). The Dudley Innova was used to compare the final version to the first version of the multi-layer ball, which had a COR that was slightly high. Each player was asked to take 24 swings per round, with two rounds. The four ball types were pitched in random fashion, with each player hitting 6 balls of each type before moving to the next ball type. The Dudley Innova balls were later removed as players began to tire. All distances over 225 feet were recorded, in the same manner as the previous test. Test data on the four balls types is shown in TABLE 13 below. Results of the test are shown below in TABLE 14.

TABLE 13

Static Summary for Balls Used in Player Test (tested prior to test)					
A					
Dudley Thunder Heat					CONTROL BALL
SW-12RF80 Poly Core					
Synthetic Cover, Gold Stitch					
COR 0.44 - Control					
Sample ID	Size (in)	Weight	Comp Pole (lbs)	Comp Eq. (lbs)	COR
A	12	183.5	373.7	379.3	0.427
A	12	184.8	380.7	386.3	0.413
A	12	187.0	378.4	382.1	-----
A	12	185.9	375.7	387.6	-----
A	12	183.9	378.8	405.6	-----
A	12	186.9	387.1	393.5	-----
Average		12	185.3	384.1	0.420
Central core Weight is 142.6 grams (based on 2 cores).					
Central core Compression is 437 lbs (based on 2 cores).					
Central core COR is .436 (based on 2 cores).					
B					
Multi-Layer Softballs: Dudley Thunder Advance					
White ZN Composite Cover					
Gold Stitch					
COR 0.44					
Sample ID	Size (in)	Weight	Comp Pole (lbs)	Comp Eq. (lbs)	COR
B	12	185.1	283.1	279.5	0.437

B	12	184.3	211.2	206.3	0.438
B	12	182.2	328.3	309.7	-----
B	12	183.1	270.6	257.6	-----
B	12	187.1	274.0	271.2	-----
B	12	187.6	322.5	303.1	-----
Average	12	184.9	276.4		0.438

Central core Weight is 121.4 grams (based on 2 cores).

Central core Compression is 457.9 lbs (based on 2 cores).

Central core COR is .433 (based on 2 cores).

Mantle Weight is 158 grams (based on 2 mantles).

Mantle Compression is 319 lbs (based on 2 mantles).

Mantle COR is .437 (based on 2 mantles).

C

Multi-Layer Softballs: Dudley Innova

White ZN Composite Cover

Gold Stitch

COR 0.44

<u>Sample ID</u>	<u>Size (in)</u>	<u>Weight</u>	<u>Comp Pole (lbs)</u>	<u>Comp Eq. (lbs)</u>	<u>COR</u>
C	12	184.8	299.3	305.9	0.467
C	12	184.4	315.6	319.0	-----
C	12	182.9	358.3	359.7	-----
C	12	182.5	318.7	283.6	-----
C	12	184.4	343.4	309.4	-----
C	12	181.4	333.3	368.6	-----
Average	12	183.4	326.2		0.467

Central core Weight is 118 grams (based on 1 core).

Central core Compression is 667 lbs (based on 1 core) .

Central core COR is .467 (based on 1 core).

Mantle Weight is 163.4 grams (based on 1 mantle).

Mantle Compression is 472 lbs (based on 1 mantle).

Mantle COR is .468 (based on 1 mantle).

D

Multi-Layer Softballs: Dudley Thunder Advance

White ZN Composite Cover

Red Stitch

COR 0.47

<u>Sample ID</u>	<u>Size (in)</u>	<u>Weight</u>	<u>Comp Pole (lbs)</u>	<u>Comp Eq. (lbs)</u>	<u>COR</u>
D	12	180.6	229.9	234.0	0.452
D	12	181.7	240.8	226.0	0.448
D	12	182.2	233.2	232.0	-----

D	12	181.3	221.5	221.8	-----
D	12	181.2	208.7	210.0	-----
D	12	179.5	222.5	226.4	-----
Average	12	181.1	225.6	225.6	0.450
Central core Weight is 116.2 grams (based on 1 core).					
Central core Compression is 530.1 lbs (based on 1 core).					
Central core COR is .442 (based on 1 core).					
Mantle Weight is 158.9 grams (based on 2 mantles).					
Mantle Compression is 319 lbs (based on 2 mantles).					
Mantle COR is .449 (based on 2 mantles).					

TABLE 14

<u>Individual Recorded Distances</u>				
	<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>	
	Control Ball (no mantle layer)	(Standard 12" Urethane core)	Multi-Layer Softballs: Dudley Thunder Advance (core and mantle layer)	
	Dudley Thunder Heat Synthetic Cover, Gold Stitch		White ZN Composite Cover, Gold Stitch	
	COR 0.44		COR 0.44	
	Comp. ~385 lbs.			
	<u>C</u>		<u>D</u>	
	Multi-Layer Softballs: Dudley Innova		Multi-Layer Softballs: Dudley Thunder Advance	
	White ZN Composite Cover		White ZN Composite Cover	
	Gold Stitch		Red Stitch	
	COR 0.44		COR 0.47	
<u>Summary - Distance In Feet</u>				
	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>
<i>Ball Number</i>	<i>SW-12RF80 Control</i>	<i>Thunder Advance: MLT 44</i>	<i>Innova MLT 44</i>	<i>Thunder Advance MLT 47</i>
1	354	387	381	363
2	345	387	381	357
3	342	378	369	348
4	336	366	357	345
5	330	360	354	345
6	327	354	351	342
7	327	345	333	342

8	321	345	333	336
9	321	342	333	333
10	318	336	327	333
11	318	327	327	333
12	318	327	324	324
13	315	324	321	324
14	312	324	315	321
15	309	324	309	318
16	309	324	303	315
17	309	321	300	315
18	306	321	297	306
19	303	318	285	306
20	300	318	279	306
21	300	315	276	306
22	297	315	273	303
23	297	312	264	303
24	294	312	264	303
25	294	312	264	303
26	294	312	261	300
27	294	312	258	297
28	288	306	252	297
29	285	303	252	294
30	285	300	246	294
31	282	300	240	294
32	279	300	234	294
33	279	300	234	291
34	279	300	234	285
35	276	297	231	285
36	276	297	228	285
37	276	294	228	285
38	273	294	228	282
39	273	294	225	282
40	272	294	225	282
41	270	294	-----	279
42	270	291	-----	279
43	270	291	-----	276
44	267	291	-----	276
45	267	288	-----	276
46	264	276	-----	276
47	264	276	-----	276
48	264	276	-----	273
49	264	273	-----	273
50	261	270	-----	273
51	258	270	-----	273

52	258	270	-----	273
53	258	270	-----	273
54	258	267	-----	270
55	256	264	-----	270
56	255	261	-----	267
57	252	261	-----	264
58	252	258	-----	261
59	252	258	-----	261
60	249	258	-----	258
61	249	258	-----	258
62	246	255	-----	252
63	246	255	-----	252
64	243	255	-----	252
65	243	252	-----	252
66	240	252	-----	252
67	240	252	-----	252
68	240	249	-----	252
69	240	249	-----	249
70	234	249	-----	249
71	234	249	-----	249
72	234	249	-----	249
73	228	246	-----	249
74	228	246	-----	246
75	228	246	-----	243
76	225	243	-----	240
77	225	243	-----	240
78	225	240	-----	237
79	-----	240	-----	237
80	-----	237	-----	234
81	-----	234	-----	234
82	-----	234	-----	231
83	-----	234	-----	231
84	-----	228	-----	228
85	-----	225	-----	225
86	-----	225	-----	-----
	<i>SW-12RF80 Control</i>	<i>Thunder Advance MLT 44</i>	<i>Innova MLT 44</i>	<i>Thunder Advance MLT 47</i>
Ave. Dist. all Hits	277	287	287	282
Standard Dev.	32.88	39.53	48.37	34.18

Max. Distance Hit	354	387	381	363
Average of Top 3 Hits	347	384	377	356
Average of Top 5 Hits	341	376	368	352
Average of Top 10 Hits	332	360	352	344
Average of Top 15 Hits	326	348	341	338
Average of Top 20 Hits	321	341	329	331
Average of Top 25 Hits	316	336	317	325
Average of Top 30 Hits	312	331	306	320
Average of Top 40 Hits	303	322	287	312

[0058] The results of the player test were very positive. Both versions of the multi-layer softball 10 unexpectedly performed better than the comparable control softball, and the new multi-layer softballs 10 have a compression of over 100 lbs. lower than the conventional control softball, which has no core/mantle layers. Both of the new multi-layer softballs 10 were longer off the bat, as shown in TABLE 14. Player perception was also positive, with most players stating that the sound off the bat was equal to that of the control ball, and most players felt that the multi-layer softballs were livelier than the control balls off the bat. The multi-layer softball 10 allows for a significantly lower overall compression while maintaining or even improving the performance of the ball 10.

[0059] A pilot run of multi-layer softballs 10 was completed for further testing. The balls 10 were tested to determine physical properties. Results of the test are shown in TABLES 15 and 16 below.

TABLE 15

Statics for 0.44 COR Multi-Layer Central cores							
<u>Central core</u>							
T11 -- 0.40 COR							
<i>Standard Mix Ratio</i>							
Purple Central cores							
Mold Size - 88.5 mm							
Sanded Weight Range 115 - 120 g							
<u>Central core</u>							
<u>Data</u>							
Core No.	Size Pole (in)	Weight (g)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	30 Blow Durability	185 Blow Durability
1	3.42	118.2	385.2	375.6	0.428	-----	-----
2	3.42	115.8	367.3	375.9	0.432	-----	-----
3	3.41	115.7	383.2	387.7	-----	Good - No Significant Denting	Look Good
4	3.41	114.8	376.0	381.2	-----	Good - No Significant Denting	Look Good
5	3.41	115.8	389.7	384.9	-----	-----	-----
6	3.41	117.3	388.1	397.6	-----	-----	-----
7	3.42	116.0	386.0	389.4	-----	-----	-----
8	3.41	115.2	380.6	385.6	-----	-----	-----
9	3.42	117.1	393.0	408.7	-----	-----	-----
10	3.41	117.0	393.3	395.2	-----	-----	-----
11	3.41	115.1	386.1	383.4	-----	-----	-----
12	3.41	114.8	375.9	385.0	-----	-----	-----
Ave.	3.41	116.1	385.6	0.430	Good	Good	
Min.	3.41	114.8	367.3	0.428			
Max.	3.42	118.2	408.7	0.432			

TABLE 15 (continued)

<u>Central core Data - Over</u>							
<u>Time</u>							
Core No.	Weight (g)	Original Data			Tested after 1 week		
		Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR
1	118.2	385.2	375.6	0.428	422.8	419.5	0.432
2	115.8	367.3	375.9	0.432	398.7	393.1	0.434
5	115.8	389.7	384.9	-----	417.9	411.9	0.429
6	117.3	388.1	397.6	-----	420.1	426.0	0.431
7	116.0	386.0	389.4	-----	407.6	418.1	-----
8	115.2	380.6	385.6	-----	417.9	408.1	-----
9	117.1	393.0	408.7	-----	416.5	427.1	-----
10	117.0	393.3	395.2	-----	424.9	422.7	-----
11	115.1	386.1	383.4	-----	413.5	415.6	-----
12	114.8	375.9	385.0	-----	402.3	413.2	-----
Ave.	116.2	386.3		0.430	414.9		0.432
		<u>3 weeks</u>					
	Core No.	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR			
	7	388.6	389	0.425			
	8	394.6	379.8	0.425			
	9	393	400.8	-----			
	10	394.5	396.1	-----			
	11	390.1	385.9	-----			
	12	371.4	381.8	-----			
	Ave.	388.8		0.425			

TABLE 16

Statics for 0.44 COR Multi-Layer Finished Balls							
Mantle Layer							
Yearflow's Modified D-12 Softie System							
Mold Size 94.2 mm							
Outer Layer Thickness 0.125 - 0.135"							
Finished Ball							
Dudley Thunder Advance MLT 12 44							
White ZN Composite Cover							
0.44 COR Version							
Finished Ball Data							
Ball No.	Size Pole (in)	Weight (g)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	30 Blow Durability	185 Blow Durability
1	11 15/16"	181.4	190.6	171.2	0.422	-----	-----
2	12"	185.3	213.0	207.2	0.431	-----	-----
3	12"	184.3	225.7	224.6	0.431	-----	-----
4	12"	184.4	231.0	212.6	0.428	-----	-----
5	12"	181.8	198.1	185.5	-----	-----	-----
6	12"	180.5	178.8	181.3	-----	-----	-----
7	-----	180.1	204.0	196.7	-----	-----	-----
8	-----	182.4	230.2	207.7	-----	-----	-----
9	-----	182.3	188.5	196.1	-----	-----	-----
10	-----	183.4	203.4	198.8	-----	-----	-----
11	-----	184.1	191.7	224.5	-----	Good - No Significant Denting	Good
12	-----	182.0	197.1	210.9	-----	Good - No Significant Denting	Good
Ave.	12"	182.7	202.9	0.428	Good	Good	
Min.	11 15/16"	180.1	171.2	0.422			
Max.	12"	185.3	231.0	0.431			
Finished Ball Data - Over Time							

		Original Data			After 1 week		
Ball No.	Weight (g)	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR
1	181.4	190.6	171.2	0.422	172.9	160.9	0.414
2	185.3	213.0	207.2	0.431	200.4	187.0	0.432
5	181.8	198.1	185.5	-----	197.3	191.6	0.428
6	180.5	178.8	181.3	-----	175.3	183.3	0.429
7	180.1	204.0	196.7	-----	199.0	197.8	-----
8	182.4	230.2	207.7	-----	229.8	210.3	-----
9	182.3	188.5	196.1	-----	189.3	195.8	-----
10	183.4	203.4	198.8	-----	201.3	193.8	-----
11	184.1	191.7	224.5	-----	195.8	223.5	-----
12	182.0	197.1	210.9	-----	200.5	213.2	-----
Ave.	182.3	198.8		0.427	195.9		0.426
		After 2 weeks					
	Ball No.	Comp. Pole (lbf)	Comp. Eq. (lbf)	COR			
	5	201.8	191.2	-----			
	6	176.1	184.4	-----			
	7	218.1	208.6	0.426			
	8	252.5	225.9	0.430			
	11	208.9	257.8	-----			
	12	213.2	231.5	-----			
	Ave.	214.2		0.428			

[0060] The foregoing description is, at present, considered to be the preferred embodiments of the MULTI-LAYER SOFTBALL. However, it is contemplated that various changes and modifications apparent to those skilled in the art may be made without departing from the present invention. Therefore, the foregoing description is intended to cover all such changes and modifications encompassed within the spirit and scope of the present invention, including all equivalent aspects.